

T/VI/M-6  
16 February 1965

UNITED STATES INTELLIGENCE BOARD  
COMMITTEE ON DOCUMENTATION

Task Team VI - Research and Development

Minutes of the Sixth Meeting - 12 February 1965

Members or Their Representatives Present

25X1A DEFENSE - Dr. Ruth M. Davis, Chairman  
CIA - Mr. [REDACTED]  
DIA - Mr. [REDACTED]  
25X1A NSA - Mr. [REDACTED]  
25X1A CSS - Mr. [REDACTED]

Others Present

[REDACTED] DIA

25X1A 1. The team meeting was preceded by a briefing on information storage and retrieval by [REDACTED]

2. For the record, following is a complete schedule of the dates, speakers and subjects of the briefings provided Task Team VI members and guests in the last few weeks:

DATE	HOUR	SPEAKER	SUBJECT
21 Jan 65	1400-1500	Dr. J. Kelly (OST)	Federal Policy
21 Jan 65	1500-1600	Mr. Richard See (NSF)	Matters Related to R&D Mechanical Translation
27 Jan 65	1330-1500	Dr. Ivan Sutherland (ARPA)	Info. Processing
27 Jan 65	1500-1630	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]
28 Jan 65	0900-1000	Dr. Erwin Biser (Ft. Monmouth)	Predictive Cal- culations

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

- 2 -

<u>DATE</u>	<u>HOOR</u>	<u>SPEAKER</u>	<u>SUBJECT</u>
28 Jan 65	1000-1100	Mr. Jacob Silverstein (Ft. Monmouth)	Imagery Interpretation <sup>25X1A</sup>
28 Jan 65	1100-1200		
12 Feb 65	1330-1500		

Most of these presentations were recorded on magnetic tape and are available through the Secretary for review by any interested member or associate. No formal summary or outline of the briefings will be provided the members, although in some cases, they are informally available through the Secretary.

2. After the briefing, the meeting was opened by the chairman requesting any changes or additions to the minutes of the last meeting held on 6 January 1965. There were no changes and the minutes were approved as written.

3. Discussion was held concerning what further requirements for additional briefings the team members felt necessary. The consensus of the group was that there was need for additional briefings. One area mentioned was that of image interpretation. Consideration was given to the possible sources for additional briefings. It was noted that some of the best sources might require some remuneration. The chairman requested the secretary to determine if funds might be made available from CODIB sources for such experts to brief the team. The chairman further requested the members to consider what further subject areas should be covered by additional briefings and bring to the next meeting suggestions along with proposed names of briefers for consideration by the team. It was also decided by the team that it would be useful to have prepared questions either provided the speaker ahead of time or prepared to give to the speaker at the presentation. The chairman pointed out that she had provided each of the previous speakers approximately ten questions which she asked to have answered during the presentation. It was noted that in spite of this, however, some of the speakers did not seem to cover areas of interest felt to be important by the team members.

4. The chairman suggested that questions should also be prepared by the members on any other subjects besides those which should be addressed to additional speakers. For example, should the group consider the use of a contractor or be provided a tour of any private or government facility, etc.?

5. The team next turned its attention to additional ways that might be explored to enable the team to come to grips with the specific task before it. At this point, it was noted that a new Terms of Reference has been prepared by the NSA and CIA representatives and the

C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L

- 3 -

chairman. These revised Terms of Reference are enclosed and team members are requested to come prepared for comment on it at the next meeting. The CIA representative raised the question of the desirability of the team preparing a description of the universe of interest that this team is involved with, perhaps in the form of an intelligence process flow diagram or concept chart. This approach, it was noted, is in contrast to looking at specific applications as the team has been doing for the last several meetings. It was agreed that this approach of a graphic representation of the universe of interest in the R&D intelligence field (or certainly the intelligence field) has been done before. Questions around the table, however, indicated that none of the members could specifically cite such an effort at the moment. Mention was made of several projects and/or contractors such as [redacted] etc.

25X1A [redacted] who are known to have prepared reports in this field and might have such conceptual charts already prepared. After some discussion, the group felt this possibility was worth exploring. Therefore, the chairman suggested that each member (particularly the CIA member) and the secretary seek out any such graphic representation that might be available within their organizations or available to them through any other source. It was also noted that regardless of the success of this approach, the succeeding steps would involve the identification of problem area nodes and these would undoubtedly work out to approximate the items of application already under consideration by the team. It was felt by the group, however, that this was meaningful enough to be attempted by the team within the time available. Consideration was given as to what this activity, plus, additional briefings, might do to the progress of the team with particular reference to the proposed report date. It was agreed that slippage in the April 65 date could be accommodated since the date was self-imposed by the team.

6. In view of the above, the chairman requested each of the members to bring to the next meeting and be prepared to consider not only any graphic representations as described above, but also such graphic representations as may be generated by the team members. The secretary was specifically requested along these lines to check the SCIPS report and the Intelligence Quarterlies for the past several years to note any such appropriate graphic representation of the universe of interest to this group.

7. Attention then turned to the Intelligence Data Handling Methodology paper attached to the minutes of the fourth meeting. After a short discussion, the chairman requested the CIA representative to look for the commonality between the community flow model approach and the application area model approach and come prepared at the next meeting to speak on this subject.

~~C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L~~

- 4 -

8. The Department of State representative, in absentia, requested that the information system design and simulation item be held for consideration as an addition to the list of applications at the next meeting.

9. The meeting was closed by the team agreeing to meet at 1400 hours, 4 March 1965 at CIA Headquarters.

[Redacted Signature]

Secretary

25X1A

Attachment: Revised Draft Terms of Reference

~~C-O-N-F-I-D-E-N-T-I-A-L~~